



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/809,943	10/809,943 03/24/2004		Maria Theresa Barnes-Leon	384818043US01	6435
25096	7590	04/07/2006		EXAMINER	
PERKINS PATENT-S		P	CORRIELUS, JEAN M		
P.O. BOX			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SEATTLE,	WA 981	11-1247	2162		

DATE MAILED: 04/07/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s) .
10/809,943	BARNES-LEON ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Jean M. Corrielus	2162

	Jean M. Corrielus	2162	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 24 March 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF		•	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No. (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in completion following time periods: 	n the same day as filing a Notice o wing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	f Appeal. To avoid at ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or
a) \square The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date of			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adviewent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later that Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date or ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI	f the final rejection. RST REPLY WAS FILE	O WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened sta above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	nd the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	n fee under 37 as set forth in (b)
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in composition of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any explored a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be AMENDMENTS 	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e))), to avoid dismissal d	of the appeal
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further county (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	nsideration and/or search (see NO	f, will <u>not</u> be entered t TE below);	pecause
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially re	•	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		jected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.15. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all the non-allowable claim(s). 		timely filed amendm	ent canceling
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	☐ will not be entered, or b) ☐ w vided below or appended.	ill be entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
3. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	it before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affidav	lotice of Appeal will <u>n</u> vit or other evidence i	ot be entered s necessary
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea / and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	ls to provide a 1).
IO. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attac	hed.
1. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowa	nce because:
2. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 3. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-144 ['] 9) Paper i	Jean M Corrielus Primary Examiner	<i>`</i> >
		Art Unit: 2162	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05) Continuation of 3. NOTE: the previous allowable claims recited "a second intermediate form". However, the amendment as filed, introduces new matter, which requires further search and consideration.